

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,678	04/04/2001	Franz Pitschi	PITSCHI-8	3996	
20151 7.	590 01/07/2003				
HENRY M FEIEREISEN			EXAMINER		
350 FIFTH AV SUITE 3220		•	LEE, BENNY T		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10118		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	P. + . 1 .	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner -		Group Art Unit	elal			
	R_{τ}	00	2817				
—The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—							
P riod for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Three Month(s) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory min pire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	imum of thirty (30) om the mailing dat	days will be considere	ed timely.			
Status							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
☐ This action is FINAL .				<u> </u>			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is clos d in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp sition f Claims							
Claim(s) 1-25	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) 1 - 24, 35	is/are r	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)							
□ Claim(s)				or election			
Applicati n Papers		require					
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
The drawing(s) filed on 4 19pr 2004 is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
✓ □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
☐ received in this national stage application from the Internal			·	•			
*Certified copies not received:			·				
Attachment(s)							
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	Intervi w Summ	ary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
Office Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 825678 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

In the Specification:

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In replacement paragraph 0003, note that "a pair of pliers" is still unclear as to how such "pliers" function as a heating element. Clarification is needed. In paragraph 0054, note that --(see Fig. 6a)-- should follow "ring 23" for consistency with the drawing figures. In replacement paragraph 0061, note that reference label "10e" still needs to be explicitly described in the specification description of Fig. 11. In general, applicants' should review the specification and drawing figures to make sure that all labeled features/elements in the drawing figures is commensurately described in the specification. It is suggested that applicants' include in the specification that a statement that -- Like elements/features in different drawing figures are designated by a common reference number and may not be described in detail for all drawing figures-- to address the preceding issue. Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CAR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The recitation in claim 12 where the sleeve is "positively-locking" does not appear described in the specification.

The recitation in claims 16, 21-24 of the solder ring including a "flux" does not appear described in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 825678

Art Unit: 2817

The recitation in claim 17 that the wall of the recess is "silvered and/or tinned" does not appear described in the specification.

In the Drawings:

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In fig. 9, should reference label "11b" correctly be --11a--, especially since reference label "11b" does not appear to be described in the specification? Clarification is needed. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In the Claims:

The following claims have been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

In claim 5, note that -- of the recess-- should be inserted after "wall" for clarity of description.

In claim 7, note that --from the solder reservoir-- should follow "flow" for a proper characterization.

In claim 9, note that "be soldered to the" should be rewritten as --contact an outer surface of the-- for a proper characterization.

In claim 15, note that "is formed as" should be rephrased as --comprises-- for clarity, and "and the end portion of the outer conductor" should be deleted as being unnecessary.

In claim 21, note that --at least one-- should precede "inner" for consistency of description.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-24; 6 are allowable over the prior art (i.e. Jackson in view of DeGroef et al) for the reasons set forth in applicants' arguments filed 1 April 2003. In particular, the argument that there would have been no motivation to have further soldered the clamped connection taught in the connector of Jackson.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308 4902.

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2817

B. Lee

June 4, 2003